

Statement of ethical publishing standards and good editorial practices

RevistaMultidisciplinar.com has a publication code of ethics that follows the COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics; <http://publicationethics.org>) standards for good publishing practices.

1. Decision to publish

The Editorial Coordination of *RevistaMultidisciplinar.com* is responsible for deciding whether to publish articles previously submitted to the journal. The Coordination may be guided by the editorial policies of the journal and the Editorial Board in this decision making, as well as being constrained by legal requirements to reject publications that infringe copyright or present plagiarism. The Editorial Board may rely on the decision of other editors or reviewers to accept or reject articles previously submitted to the journal.

2. Fair play

The Editorial Coordination will always evaluate the manuscripts submitted to *revistamultidisciplinar.com* regarding its intellectual/scientific content without regard to ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, citizenship, nationality or political position of its authors.

3. Confidentiality

The Editorial Coordination and all members of the editorial team must not reveal any information regarding manuscripts submitted to *revistamultidisciplinar.com*, except to the authors of the manuscript, reviewers, potential reviewers, other members of the editorial team or the owners of the journal.

4. Conflicts of interest

The materials contained in a manuscript submitted to *RevistaMultidisciplinar.com* may not be used in the research of members of the Editorial Coordination or any other member of the editorial team, except when duly authorized by the authors of the manuscript.

Duties of Reviewers

1. Contribution to the editorial decision

Peer review assists the Editorial Coordination of *revistamultidisciplinar.com* in making an editorial decision, as well as in all communication between the editor and the authors of the manuscript, in order for the latter to improve their article.

2. Acceptance of the review

Any reviewer who does not feel qualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or who cannot guarantee that the timely submission of his review to the Editorial Coordination of *revistamultidisciplinar.com* is impossible, should immediately report this fact.

3. Confidentiality

Any manuscript received for review should be treated by the reviewer as a confidential document. It should not be shown to or discussed with anyone, unless authorized by the Editorial Coordination of *RevistaMultidisciplinar.com*.

4. Objectivity

Manuscript reviews should be conducted in an objective manner. Personal criticisms directed at authors are strictly inappropriate. Reviewers should express their opinion about the manuscript clearly, always adding arguments to support their criticism.

5. Indication of sources

Reviewers should always identify, in their reviews, published works that have not been cited by the authors. Any observations and arguments that have been previously published should be accompanied by a citation. A reviewer should also bring to the attention of the Editorial Coordination of *Revista Multidisciplinar.com* any similarity or overlap between a manuscript under review and any other published article of which he/she is aware.

6. Conflicts of interest

Inside information or any idea obtained through the peer review process should be kept confidential and not used for personal use. Reviewers should not accept reviews of articles with which they have conflicts of interest resulting from collaborations, personal relationships, or oppositions with authors, companies, or institutions connected with the manuscript.

Duties of the author

1. Reporting research

Authors must clearly and accurately report original research in their manuscript, describing the work done and a discussion of its interest to the research area under review. Collected data must be correctly reported. Incorrect or fraudulent claims constitute forms of unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

2. Data access and restrictions

Authors should be prepared to provide the raw data relating to their manuscript for review by the Editorial Board of *revistamultidisciplinar.com*, or to give public access to it. In either situation, authors should find the conditions necessary to store their data for a reasonable time after publication of their manuscript.

3. Originality and plagiarism

Authors should ensure that they have produced a completely original manuscript. If they have used the work or words of other authors, this should be correctly indicated by a citation.

4. Multiple, competing or redundant publication

Authors should not submit the same manuscript describing essentially the same research to different journals. Competing submission of the same manuscript to more than one journal is considered unethical behavior and is not acceptable.

5. Acknowledgement of sources

Acknowledgment of the work of other authors should be included in any manuscript. Authors should always cite publications that have been prevalent in the work being reported.

6. Authorship of the manuscript

Manuscript authorship should be limited to those authors who have provided substantive input in the conception, design, execution, and interpretation of the reported research. The responsible author of the article should ensure that all co-authors are included in the manuscript, and that inappropriate co-

authors have been excluded. He/she should also ensure that all co-authors have been made aware of the final version of the manuscript to be submitted and that they agree to its publication.

7. Conflicts of interest

Authors must disclose in their manuscripts any financial or other conflicts of interest that could be considered a factor influencing the results or interpretation of the data reported in the manuscript. All sources of funding for the reported research should also be reported in the manuscript.

8. Significant errors in published work

When an author discovers a significant error in a published work, it is his obligation to immediately notify the Editorial Coordination of revistamultidisciplinar.com and collaborate with him in the removal or correction of the article.

Based on the Statement of Ethical Standards for Publication and Editorial Best Practices of the journal Comunicação Pública, in <https://journals.openedition.org/cp/2967>